



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/961,389	09/25/2001	Klaus Hunlich	449122010500	5499	
25227 75	590 06/27/2006		EXAM	INER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			BURLESON, MICHAEL L		
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, VA 22102			2625		

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/961,389		HUNLICH, KLAUS			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Michael Burlesor	ר	2626			
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cove	r sheet with the co	orrespondence add	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date or If NO period for reply is specified above, the new Failure to reply within the set or extended perion Any reply received by the Office later than three armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	ITHE MAILING DA e provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. naximum statutory period w od for reply will, by statute, see months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, howe will apply and will expire , cause the application to	OMMUNICATION ever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
 1) Responsive to communication 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in concluded in accordance with the 	2b)⊠ This ondition for allowar	action is non-fin	rmal matters, pro		merits is		
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowe 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected 7)□ Claim(s) is/are object 8)□ Claim(s) are subject	is/are withdraved. l. ed to.	vn from consider					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that Replacement drawing sheet(s) 11) The oath or declaration is ob	_ is/are: a)☐ acce any objection to the o including the correcti	epted or b) obj drawing(s) be held ion is required if th	in abeyance. See e drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	, ,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		5) 🔲	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa Other:	PTO-413) te: atent Application (PTO-	152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/961,389 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see Applicants remarks page 4-6, filed 03/17/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-10 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Amit et al. US 6259538.
- 2. Applicant states that the reference of Albal teaches of a connection between a computer workstation (30) and a local server (28), which is not a real-time connection. Examiner agrees with applicant. The reference of Amit et al. teaches of a connection from a facsimile machine to a facsimile gateway using a pstn (figure 1). This connection is a real-time connection. Applicant also states that the reference of Albal teaches of a near-end and far-end acknowledgement between communication partners does not teach of preventing or not sending an acknowledgement for verification of a correct transmission from at least one first intermediate station to the at least first subscriber. Examiner agrees with Applicant. The reference of Amit et al. teaches only of an acknowledgment is sent after the fax has been received by the receiving fax (column 4, lines 25-28). The fact that Amit et al. only sends an acknowledgment after the fax has been received by another fax machine would read on preventing or not sending an acknowledgement for verification of a correct transmission from at least one first intermediate station to the at least first subscriber. Claims 1-10 are rejected.

Application/Control Number: 09/961,389 Page 3

Art Unit: 2626

Priority

3. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Regarding claim 2, Examiner fails to understand how the reception of data of the at least on first subscriber by the at least one first intermediate station is acknowledged if in independent claim 1, acknowledgement for correct transmission provided from the at least one first intermediate station to the at least one first subscriber is acknowledged.
- 4. Regarding claim 10, Examiner fails to understand how there can be a real-time communication link between at least one first subscriber and at least one second subscriber, wherein in claim 7, a real-time connection is established between the at least one second subscriber and the at least one second intermediate station.

1

Application/Control Number: 09/961,389

Art Unit: 2626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Qarni et al. US 6438105.
- 3. Regarding claim 1, Amit et al. teaches a method of transmitting facsimile data from at least one first subscriber to at least one second subscriber (figure 1). Amit et al. teaches of establishing a real-time connection to at least one first intermediate station and transmitting data to the first intermediate station (figure 1 and column 3,lines 25-30). Amit et al. teaches of preventing an acknowledgement for verification of a correct transmission provided from the at least one first intermediate station to the at least one first subscriber (column 5,lines 5-10). Amit et al. teaches forwarding, from the at least one first intermediate station over a connection which at least in part does not support real-time transmission (figure 1 and column 3,lines 25-39). Amit et al. teaches of establishing real-time connection between the at least one second subscriber and the at least one second intermediate station,

Application/Control Number: 09/961,389

Art Unit: 2626

and transmitting the data to the at least one second subscriber (figure 1 and column 4,lines 25-39).

Page 5

- 4. Regarding claim 2, Amit et al. teaches of wherein reception of the data of the at least one first subscriber by the at least one first intermediate station is acknowledged (column 4,lines 11-15).
- 5. Regarding claim 3, Amit et al. teaches wherein the reception of the data by the at least one second subscriber is acknowledged to the at least one second intermediate station (column 4,lines 16-21).
- 6. Regarding claim 4, Amit et al. teaches wherein the reception of the data of the at least one first subscriber is acknowledged by the at least one second subscriber, and the acknowledgement is transmitted over the entire link to the at least one first subscriber (column 4,lines 26-29).
- 7. Regarding claim 5, Amit et al. teaches wherein the at least one first intermediate station forwards the data to the at least one second intermediate station over a network at least in part based on TCP/IP (column 3, lines 35-38).
- 8. Regarding claim 6, Amit et al. teaches wherein the at least one first intermediate station and the at least one second intermediate station act in the form of a proxy (column 4,lines 43-55).
- 9. Regarding claim 7, the steps of method claim 1 performs all of the structural elements of claim 7. Thus, claim 7 is rejected for the same reasons discussed in the rejection of claim 1.

10.

Page 6

elements of claim 8. Thus, claim 8 is rejected for the same reasons discussed in the

Regarding claim 8, the steps of method claim 6 performs all of the structural

rejection of claim 6.

Regarding claim 9, the steps of method claim 5 performs all of the structural 11.

elements of claim 9. Thus, claim 9 is rejected for the same reasons discussed in the

rejection of claim 5.

12. Regarding claim 10, Amit et al. teaches wherein the communication link between

the at least one first subscriber and at least one second subscriber is a real-time

communication link (figure 1).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Michael

Burleson whose telephone number is (571) 272-7460 and fax number is (571) 273-

7460. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday from 8:00 a.m. -

4:30p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Kimberly Williams can be reached at (571) 272-7471

KAWilliams

Michael Burleson Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2626

Application/Control Number: 09/961,389

Art Unit: 2626

Page 7

Mlb June 12, 2006